

The Odisha Gazette

EXTRAORDINARY
PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

No. 284 CUTTACK, MONDAY, FEBRUARY 23, 2015/FALGUNA 4, 1936

LABOUR & E. S. I. DEPARTMENT

NOTIFICATION

The 16th February 2015

No. 1383—li/1(SS)-17/2007-LE.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Award, dated the 19th November 2014 in Industrial Dispute Case No. 15 of 2008 of the Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, Rourkela to whom the industrial dispute between the Management of Gulf Oil Corporation Ltd., (IDL Division), Sonaparbat, Rourkela and their Workman represented by General Secretary, Indian Detonators Mazdoor Sabha, IDL Road, Sonaparbat, Rourkela - 16 was referred to for adjudication is hereby published as in the Schedule below :

SCHEDULE

IN THE COURT OF PRESIDING OFFICER, INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL, ROURKELA

INDUSTRIAL DISPUTE CASE No. 15 OF 2008

Dated the 19th November 2014

Present :

Smt. V. Jayashree, O.S.J.S. (Sr. Branch)
Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, Rourkela.

Between :

Manager, Gulf Oil Corporation Ltd. . . First Party—Management
(IDL Division), Sonaparbat, Rourkela.

And

Their Workman, represented by . . Second Party—Workman
General Secretary, Indian Detonators,
Mazdoor Sabha, IDL Road, Sonaparbat,
Rourkela - 16.

Appearances :

None . . For the First Party—Management

None . . For the Second Party—Workman

AWARD

The Government of Odisha in the Labour & Employment Department in exercise of their powers conferred under sub-section (5) of Section 12, read with Clause (d) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act have referred the following dispute vide Order No. 3902—li/1(SS)-17/2007-LE., dated the 29th March 2008 for adjudication :—

“Whether the demand of the Indian Detonators Mazdoor Sabha, IDL Road, Sonaparbat, Rourkela - 16 for payment of *ex-gratia* @ Rs. 5,000 for the accounting year 2004-2005 to each employee by the management of Gulf Oil Corporation Ltd., (IDL Division), Sonaparbat, Rourkela - 16 is legal and/or justified ? If not, what relief the employees are entitled to ?”.

2. On notices being sent, both parties appeared, but thereafter both parties remained absent on calls. From this, it can be presumed that either they have settled their dispute outside the Court in the mean time or they have no interest to conduct the case. Hence No Dispute Award is passed.

Dictated and corrected by me.

V. JAYASHREE
19-11-2014
Presiding Officer
Industrial Tribunal, Rourkela

V. JAYASHREE
19-11-2014
Presiding Officer
Industrial Tribunal, Rourkela

By order of the Governor
M. NAYAK
Under-Secretary to Government